

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
1.	<b>Attendees and apologies</b>			
	Present:	<p>Chair: Cllr Mark Connolly (MC) Wiltshire Councillor, Chris Williams (CW) Wiltshire Councillor, Cllr Ian Blair-Pilling (I B-P) Wiltshire Councillor, Gareth Rogers (GR) Wiltshire Council, Andy Cole (AC) Wiltshire Council, Lt Col Nick Turner (NT) TNB Garrison, Humph Jones (HJ) Tidworth Town Council, Nick Allingham (NA), Ludgershall resident, Rob Coultard (RC) Enford PC, Dennis Bottomley (DB) Everleigh PC and Richard Semple (RS) Collingbourne Kingston PC.</p> <p>Apologies Richard Rogers (RR) Community Engagement Manager, Nigel Lukowski (NL) Stagecoach &amp; PC Sharron Duggan (SD)</p>		
2.	<b>Notes of last meeting</b>			
		Minutes of the meeting held on 12 Oct 20 were approved.		
3.	<b>Financial Position</b>			

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		The Group noted the financial position with current commitments as being £9068.93		
<b>4.</b>	<b>Top 5 Priority Schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b><u>Priority No.01</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Issue 6070</u></b></p> <p><b>Everleigh, Marlborough Road</b></p> <p>Speeding Concerns</p>	<p>MC confirmed that Everleigh PC will contribute up to £1250 for the cost of implementing the 40-mph speed limit in Marlborough Road. The Group agreed to fund the remaining cost of up to £3750.</p> <p>CATG to fund 75% of the £5000 implementation costs and Everleigh £1250.</p> <p>No Objection to the proposal received.</p> <p>Works order to implement the proposal issued w\c 04/01/21. Anticipated implementation March '21</p>		
b)	<p><b><u>Priority No.02</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-19-5</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p>	<p><i>Recent work on the roundabout has failed. The bollards installed on the west side of the roundabout have all been destroyed. The roundabout is failing to slow traffic that is moving north. There is also a chronic problem with traffic moving north failing to acknowledge the right of way of vehicles that are approaching the roundabout from the direction of Brunton and wanting to turn to the north.</i></p> <p><i>The west side of the roundabout needs a raised platform to define the desired roadway. The raised area should have bollards erected so that the extent of the roundabout is clear to traffic. The plastic bollards that were installed earlier this year have not worked at all. Of the four that were installed, all of them have been destroyed. By building a platform that is similar to those that support the larger signs at the north and</i></p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>south entries to the roundabout, traffic going north will have to take more care going through the roundabout.</i></p> <p><i>The centre of the roundabout should be raised. As it stands, traffic drives over the roundabout centre as if it was not there.</i></p> <p>GR confirmed that alternative flexible barriers have been ordered for the interim. Following a discussion about raised kerbs being a possible solution, the Group confirmed that it would fund the design of such a scheme if Collingbourne Kingston Parish Council made a 25% contribution of £500.</p> <p>It is likely the cost of implementing this scheme may require a bid to the central pot. However, there is not likely to be substantive bids for 2020/2021 due to delays in the 2019/2020 schemes as a result of COVID-19.</p> <p>Initial designs and cost estimate in development. Expected completion End Feb.</p>		
c)	<p><b><u>Priority No 03</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-20-1</u></b></p> <p>Enford</p> <p>Request for 20mph Limit Assessment</p>	<p>Enford parish Council would like to bid for funding support to conduct traffic calming measures within the village. The Parish committee in January 2020 passed that it would fund 25% of costs towards the study up to a contribution of £650.00.</p> <p>Current Speeds are 30mph and we would like this reduced to 20mph throughout the village boundary excluding the A345. There are parts of the village roads that are particular issues of mainly speeding commuter cars. The risk is compounded with no pavements, regular deep surface water and a school bus stop.</p>	GR to confirm if assessment has been undertaken.	GR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>It is acknowledged that part of the village (Long St and west of the Swan pub) has generally slower speeds of traffic due to the closeness of houses and a narrow road. However, a blanket speed restriction is requested by the parish in order to protect and keep safe pedestrians.</p> <p>Assessment cost is £2500. Parish Council has confirmed contribution of 25% (£625).</p> <p>Data collection requests have been submitted to contractor. Awaiting return of information.</p>		
d)	<p><b><u>Priority No 04</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref TBC</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>A338 Marlborough Road</p> <p>Additional Village Gates.</p>	<p>Parish Council have requested additional Gates on the A338.</p> <p>Two Options had been produced. Parish Council have confirmed that Option 2 and have confirmed 25% contribution (£1025). MC stated that this is the final gates into the village, all the others had been implemented via the Group. All approved.</p> <p>All work has been completed. A minor adjustment to the sign heights will take place. Invoice to PC has been raised. Remove and close issue</p>	Work complete – Remove and Issue Close.	
6.	<b>Other Priority schemes</b>			

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

a)				
<b>7.</b>	<b>Open / Other Issues</b>			
a)	<p>Ref 15-19-2</p> <p>Fosbury</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit</p>	<p>Speed of traffic through village (Fosbury) and road to Oxonwood. Would like to speed restrictions in the village and up to Oxenwood. Suggest a 30mph through the village &amp; 40mph to Oxenwood.</p> <p>Pewsey CATG consulting with Oxonwood PC to see if it wishes to contribute to assessing the speed. Due to be discussed by the Pewsey CATG meeting 10/02/21.</p>		
b)	<p><u>Ref TBC</u></p>	<p><i>Tidworth Town Council (TTC) has requested lighting be provided on the stretch of path the Group had widened into a joint foot and cycle path in 2017/2018. There had been a nasty accident involving a cyclist and pedestrian where serious injuries were incurred.</i></p> <p>MC advised that the reason lighting was not put in was because the area was the site of a bat flightpath. GR stated that the infrastructure was put in place but advised that low lighting would require many lights and would be susceptible to vandalism.</p> <p>WC Ecologist has provided guidance on the requirements, and indicated a full assessment / survey will be required. Potential</p>	<p>Remove and close issue.</p>	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>costs are between £5k to £10k due to the need for manual surveys across an extended period.</p> <p>The group decided the cost of the survey was prohibitive, the likelihood of the implementation and ongoing maintenance costs would also be prohibitive. Remove and close issue.</p>		
c)	<p>Ref 15-20-2</p> <p>Collingbourne Kinston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit</p>	<p>The speed of traffic through our village along the A338 is excessive. Based on the work of our CSW, the percentage of vehicles that are caught speeding during the course of their sessions is 8% against a county average that is less than 3%.</p> <p>We would like to employ 2 Speed Indicator Devices at points along the A338 in our village. We wish to apply to the CATG for funding of the brackets and poles we need to install at various locations on which we can place the SIDs. We need between 4-6 locations so that we can move the SID's around so that we can make the best use of them.</p> <p>GR advised that it would cost up to £4K to implement the scheme but a site meeting between CKPC and AC would be required to assess where installations can be provided. The Group agreed that MC should approach CKPC to confirm it would contribute 25% of the costs and to advise of locations to AC. The Group agreed to implement the scheme if CKPC agreed to contribute 25% of the cost.</p> <p>Awaiting list of sites / locations for assessment. RS to provide AC with potential sites.</p>	RS to provide AC with potential sites.	RS/AC
d)	Ref 15-20-3	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to</p>	MC to seek approval of TAB	MC

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p>		
<b>8.</b>	<b>New Issues</b>			
<b>a)</b>	<p>Ref 15-20-04</p> <p>Tidworth, Pennings Road</p> <p>Request for Pedestrian Crossing Assessment</p>	<p>Following on from requests and concerns raised by residents our Services Committee discussed the above location and agreed that for safety reasons a crossing needed at this location.</p> <p>Now that the development is pretty much complete there is an increase in use by pedestrians.</p>	MC to seek approval of TAB	MC

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>Tidworth Town Council fully supports the request for a pedestrian crossing and will fund 25% of the assessment.</p> <p>After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to TAB an assessment for a pedestrian crossing on the A338, Pennings Road (near its junction with Connolly Way), Tidworth to be undertaken.</p>		
<b>9.</b>	<b>Other items</b>			
a)				
<b>10.</b>	<b>AOB</b>			
a)	Tidworth Area Cycle Network	 <p>Tidworth and Ludgershall Cycle Net</p> <p>MA to update the map to take into account improvements since 2013. Group to consider future improvements required.</p> <p>GR advised that Government is likely to provide additional funding for cycling and walking schemes in future. I B-P suggested that the Group should have schemes agreed and supported in preparation for this.</p>	<p>CW/NA request LTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p> <p>MC/HJ request TTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p>	<p>CW/NA</p> <p>MC/HJ</p>

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		MC suggested that TTC and LTC should review their networks and make recommendations to CATG of possible improvements to the foot and cycle networks. CW suggested TAB also discuss the issue.		
b)	Army Basing Road Infrastructure Update	HJ stated that the roadworks were now complete in Tidwroth and the new layouts are working very well.		
c)	Toucan Crossing, Tidworth Post Office	HJ raised the flooding and mud issue at this crossing. He said there was a lip that prevented the water escaping and if the lip was removed, it would help with the situation.  AC Continues to chase		AC
d)	Warning signs	RS asked for dip warning signs following a recent accident which unfortunately resulted in a fatality. GR explained the Coronor process and that WC would action any recommendations made if any highway faults contributed to the incident. WC also have some 120 site around the County that have at least three Personal Injury accidents in the last three years that would receive priority. CATG could also be requested to provide signs if the PC requested it.		
e)	Weight Limit Signes	NA asked if two signs in Ludgershall could be illuminated. GR stated that the signs in question were advisory only and that only regulatory signs require lighting. The unlit signs are, however, reflective. CW stated he had volunteers to undertake Lorry Watch duties in Ludgershall. Members of the public can also take photos of lorries breaking the weight limit restrictions at the link below		

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<a href="http://www.gov.uk">Report a lorry, bus or coach driver or company - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</a>		
11.	<b>Date of Next Meeting: 29 March 2021</b>			

**Tidworth Community Area Transport Group**

**Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers**